Class and Section: MIS4173 Date: 04/13/2022

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 3**

Team 12:

Myles Gardner, Jalen McMillan, David Nagy

SR&R 3 Documentation Grade: **90** SR&R 3 Presentation Grade: **91**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 3 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all did a good job on your sprint. Take my feedback and change what needs to be changed. If you need anything, let me know.  Dr. Kisling (04/13/2022) |

#### SR&R 3 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 1 |
| **SR&R 3 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents New, Fixes, & Updates** |  |  |
| Previous Documentation Updates | **5** | 5 |
| Complete Data Flow Diagram Package | **20** | 16 |
| Navigation Diagram | **5** | 4 |
| Entity Relationship Diagrams | **10** | 8 |
| Program Plan showing logic and I/Os (IPO Chart with each program listed) | **10** | 10 |
| New Documentation | **5** | 5 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **7** | 6 |
| Sprint 3 Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 6 |
| Sprint 3 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 7 |
| **Updated Project Plan** | **6** | 4 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 90 |

#### SR&R 3 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Introduction to Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| DFD Package | **30** | 23 |
| Initial ERD & IPO Chart | **15** | 13 |
| Project Updates on Previous/New Information/Documentation | **10** | 10 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Burn Down Chart | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **10** | 10 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 91 |

**Comments:**

DOCUMENTATION

* Your documentation is looking okay. Please fix the table-of-contents Problem Analysis and right justify all the page numbers. Please do not put each new item on a new page. You do want to start each Section on a new page, but you can put Company Background & Current Environment on the same page as Section 1 Executive Summary and so on.
* See all comments about DFD, ERD, IPO below in the PRESENTATION section
* HW & SW Specifications are fine—are they specs or requirements or are you saying they are the same thing?
* NAV diagram need return arrows that are dashed unless you cannot return to any of the navigation items such as returning from Future Order back to Orders
* Standard naming conventions are fine
* Sprint items
  + Backlogs are better—what about any user requirements
  + SCRUM meeting log is good
  + Burndown chart is interesting—you all say you worked 25.5 hours, but the data says 14.5—which is it?
  + Sprint 3 Team Evaluation is fine
* Project plan needs to be a Gantt chart—if you need help creating one, ask (I did not deduct as many points since I sis not specifically state that it was to be a Gantt Chart last sprint in your feedback)
* If you need help with anything, please let me know. I am here to help you all be successful

PRESENTATION

* Your presentation was good
* CD & UCD are much better—CD appears to need an Email actor as does the UCD need it as a secondary actor
* DFD Feedback:
  + Level 0 DFD
    - Email Sent dataflow needs to be removed—Email cannot have any dataflows exiting it
    - The CD says that Company and Employee are the entities, but the Level 0 DFD shows Employee, Email, and Customer—which is correct?
    - Datastore names do not match ERD table names
    - Level 0 DFD shows 2 datastores while the ERD shows 3 tables—which is correct?
    - Dataflows are supposed to be noun verb phrases—some of your dataflows are verb noun phrases—please fix
  + Level 1 DFD Process 2.0
    - According to level 0 DFD, Process 2.0 sends a dataflow to D2-Orders—you need to show that on your level 1 DFD
    - What happens to the data in Process 2.1 and 2.2?
    - You have verb noun phrases
* ERD you have a N:M relationship—that is many-to-many. You need to add a intersection table to remove the many-to-many—Look at the lecture slides on IPO, ERD, NAV to see how to do that
* IPO chart is fine
* Sprint items and PSPI were fine
* Mr. O’Dor gave you all a 2.66/4 = 66.5%. You can see I gave you a 91%, **Remember**, he grades the SR&R 6 Presentation, I do not. Read his feedback and do his suggestions.